DISCUSSION

Lees Ferry is in the colorful, relatively open valley of the Colorado River (fig. 1) downstream of Glen Canyon and upstream of Marble Canyon, which is the beginning of the Grand Canyon of the Colorado River. The Paria River, an important tributary, enters the Lees Ferry area through Paria Canyon (fig. 2). Geologic mapping was undertaken to provide information about the age, distribution, and origin of surficial deposits in the near-river environment. Information about recent geologic activity is necessary to understand the rates, magnitude, and processes of environmental change along the river today. This information is also needed to evaluate the consequences of regulated streamflow, which began in 1963 with closure of Glen Canyon Dam (27 km upstream of the map area). The dam controls flooding and cuts off the supply of sediment. The resulting essentially clear water flows are erosive in a river system formerly adjusted to a large supply of sand-size sediment. These regulated flows have the potential to erode and damage the riparian environment, including near-shore archeologic features. The map shows the present configuration of pre- and post-dam geomorphic features. This map information is a baseline against which future change resulting from natural causes and regulated streamflow can be measured.

The Lees Ferry area is important geologically, culturally, and politically. In terms of surficial geology, the late Quaternary deposits shown on the map record the physical effects of environmental change on the Colorado River, the Paria River, and the relatively small tributaries draining the rim of Glen Canyon. Four types of surficial deposits are important in the landscape of the area: (1) gravels in high level, abandoned, channels of the Colorado River that were deposited during the late Pleistocene, probably in response to glacial activity in the Rocky Mountains; (2) terraces related to accumulation of sand in the channels of the Colorado and Paria River, resulting from changes in streamflow and sediment load; (3) debris-flow deposits at the mouths of relatively small tributaries that form bouldery fan-like surfaces; and (4) late Holocene flood deposits of the Colorado River that were laid down by unusually large floods. These prehistoric floods were substantially larger than the largest historic flood of July 1884, on the basis of the elevation of the deposits above the historic-age flood deposits.

The Lees Ferry area has a long and rich cultural history discussed by Rzeho and Crampton (1992) and Reilly (1999); important historical sites in the map area are identified and described by Thompson and others (1995). Located between two virtually uncrossable canyons, Glen Canyon and Marble Canyon, this area has been a Colorado River crossing since prehistoric times, even though crossing requires swimming at most river levels. The older river terrace shown on the map was used by prehistoric peoples for camping, probably close in time to fording the Colorado River, as indicated by shallow hearths and other archeologic features.

The first written description of the area is the Dominguez-Escalante Journal (Chavez, 1976, p. 93–95), an account of an expedition through the Southwest in 1776. The expedition camped on the banks of the Paria River near the former junction with the Colorado River (fig. 2) from October 27 to November 1, 1776. In late 1871, the now abandoned ferry crossing (on the debris fan near the east boundary of the map area) was established by John D. Lee who also settled Lonely Dell Ranch (north of map area). In modern times, the area is important for recreation including sight seeing, hiking, fishing, and as a launching point for whitewater raft trips through Grand Canyon. Several of the mapped deposits accumulated since the area was visited by the Dominguez-Escalante Expedition.

The geopolitical boundary or Compact Point between the Upper and Lower Colorado River Basin is in the Lees Ferry area. A compact drawn up in 1922 allocates water among the seven states (Wyoming, Colorado, Utah, New Mexico, Arizona, Nevada, and California) receiving water from the upper and lower basins. To appropriate water, gaging stations that measure streamflow and sediment load were established on the south bank of the Colorado River and on the Paria River about 1 km north of the map area. Changes in streamflow at the gaging stations are described by Andrews (1990; 1991). The long-term streamflow patterns reveal important environmental changes of the 20th century, including arroyo cutting, drought, and the effects of Glen Canyon Dam.

Ground control for photogrammetric construction of the topographic base map was done by the Surveying Team of the Bureau of Reclamation, Glen Canyon Environmental Studies (GCES). The deposits were mapped in the field using low-altitude (scale 1:4800) color aerial photographs taken May 30, 1993. The aerial photographs are numbered GCES 10-6 to 10-8 and 11–1 to 11–8. The geologic information was compiled on the base map using a stereo analytical plotter.

The dates of the alluvial deposits were determined from dated archeologic remains, historic photographs, content of driftwood, and ring counts of living trees related to deposition of the alluvium. In addition, the terrace sequence in the Lees Ferry area is similar to a dated terrace sequence in eastern Grand Canyon and Marble Canyon (Hereford, 1996; Hereford and others, 1993; 1996a; 1998), which permits correlation with the dated alluvium. Debris-flow deposits in the map area were subdivided on the basis of the degree of surface weathering and darkness of rock varnish, which was determined using the method of Bull (1991, p. 63–64). Varnish darkness was used to estimate the date of the debris-flow surface on basis of comparison with varnish darkness from dated debris-flow surfaces in eastern Grand Canyon and Marble Canyon (Hereford and others, 1996a; 1997; 1998).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We were introduced to the archeology of the Lees Ferry area by National Park Service archeologists Timothy W. Burchette, Helen C. Fairley, Lisa M. Leap, and Lynn A. Neal. These people kindly shared information about the location and age of archeologic sites in the Lees Ferry area. Funding for this research was provided by the Bureau of Reclamation with the support of Sigrea Lamalle and David L. Wegner. Grand Canyon National Park Archeologist Janet R. Balsom developed the program for geoarcheologic research along the Colorado River from Glen Canyon to the mouth of Grand Canyon.

REFERENCES CITED

Andrews, E.D., 1990, The Colorado River; a perspective from Lees Ferry, Arizona, *in* Wolman, M.G., and Riggs, H.C., eds., Surface water hydrology: The Geology of North America, Volume O-1, p. 304–310.

———1991, Sediment transport in the Colorado River basin, *in* Colorado River ecology and dam management: Washington, D.C., National Academy Press, p. 54–74.

Bull, W.B., 1991, Geomorphic response to climatic change: New York, Oxford University Press, 326 p.

Chavez, Fray Angelico, 1976, The Dominguez-Escalante expedition: Provo, Utah, Brigham Young University Press, 203 p.

Goddard, E.M., Overbeck, R.M, Rowe, O.N., Singewald, J.T., Jr., and Trask, P.D., 1948, Rock-color chart: Washington, D.C., National Research Council, 6 p.

Hereford, Richard, 1986, Modern alluvial history of the Paria River drainage basin, southern Utah: Quaternary Research, v. 25, p. 293–311.

———1996, Map showing surficial geology and geomorphology of the Palisades Creek area, Grand Canyon National Park, Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Investigations Series Map I-2449, scale 1:2,000 (with discussion).

Hereford, Richard, Burke, K.J., Thompson, K.S., 1998, Map showing Quaternary geology and geomorphology of the Nankoweap Rapids area, Marble Canyon, Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Investigations Series Map I-2658, scale 1:2,000 (with discussion).

Hereford, Richard, Fairley, H.C., Thompson, K.S., and Balsom, J.R., 1993, Surficial geology, geomorphology, and erosion of archeologic sites along the Colorado River, eastern Grand Canyon, Grand Canyon National Park, Arizona: U.S Geological Survey Open-File Report 93–517, 46 p., 4 plates.

Hereford, Richard, Jacoby, G.C., and McCord, V.A.S., 1996b, Late Holocene alluvial geomorphology of the Virgin River in the Zion National Park area, southwest Utah: Geological Society America Special Paper 310, 46 p.

Hereford, Richard, Thompson, K.S., and Burke, K.J., 1997, Dating prehistoric tributary debris fans, Colorado River, Grand Canyon National Park, Arizona, with implications for channel evolution and river navigability: U.S. Geological Survey, Open-File Report 97–167, 17p.

Hereford, Richard, Thompson, K.S., Burke, K.J., and Fairley, H.C., 1996a, Tributary debris fans and the late Holocene alluvial chronology of the Colorado River, eastern Grand Canyon, Arizona: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 108, p. 3–19.

Leschin, M.F., and Schmidt, J.C., 1995, Description of map units to accompany maps showing surficial geology and geomorphology of the Lee's Ferry reach of the Colorado River, Grand Canyon National Park, Arizona: unpublished report to Bureau of Reclamation, Glen Canyon Environmental Studies, 6 p., 6 plates.

Powe, T.L., 1968, Colorado River guidebook: Private publication, 78 p.

Phoenix, D.A., 1963, Geology of the Lees Ferry area, Coconino County, Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1137, 86 p., 3 plates.

Reiche, Parry, 1937, The Toreva block-a distinctive landslide type: Journal of Geology, v. 45, p. 538–548.

Reilly, P.T., 1999, Lee's Ferry: from Mormon Crossing to national park, ed., Robert H. Webb: Logan, Utah, Utah State University Press, 542 p.

Rzeho, W.L., and Crampton, C.G., 1992, Desert river crossing: Salt Lake City, Utah, Crickett Productions, 168 p.

Thompson, K.S., Hereford, Richard, and Burke, K.J., 1995, Topographic map showing historic features of the Lees Ferry area, Marble Canyon, Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 95–592, scale 1:2,000.

Turner, R.M., and Karpiscak, M.M., 1980, Recent vegetation changes along the Colorado River between Glen Canyon Dam and Lake Mead, Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1132, 125 p.



CORRELATION OF MAP UNITS

ALLUVIUM					COLLUVIUM						EOLIAN	ARTIFICIAL FILL		BEDROCK
Terrace and flood-plain deposits of the Colorado River		Gravel deposits	Terrace and flood-plain deposits of the Paria River	Tributary stream deposits	Landslide deposits	Talus	Debris-flows deposits				Sand dunes	Hydraulic mining outwash	Rip rap and levees	Sedimentary rocks
Post-dam deposits and surfaces	Pre-dam terraces and related deposits	Pre-dam flood deposits					Pre-dam debris-flow deposits	Channel-dam debris-flow deposits	Debris-flow deposits overlying channel-dam deposits					



DESCRIPTION OF MAP UNITS

[All ages are anno Domini (A.D.) unless otherwise indicated. Color codes from Goddard and others, 1948]

ALLUVIUM

Terrace and flood-plain deposits of the Colorado River

Post-dam deposits and surfaces

pdz **Post-dam zone (1963–1996)**—Very fine grained, light-colored sand and gravel; deposits mostly presented in 1965 aerial photographs; in channel since 1965–1962 in near cableway. Mostly an erosional zone along margin of Colorado River. Sand spotty distributed on gravel; bounded by steep, erosional cutbanks adjoining older terraces. Dense groves of small, relatively mature saltcedar trees present locally; tree-ring counts indicate germination in 1963. Driftwood on terrace contains abundant cut-and-milled wood and cans and bottles dating from mid-1950s. Unit equivalent to pre-dam alluvium of Hereford and others (1993; 1996a; 1998) and Hereford (1996) in Marble Canyon and eastern Grand Canyon on basis of similarities in vegetation, position in channel relative to post-dam zone (unit pdz), and flood debris

Pre-dam terraces and related deposits

pt **Pre-dam terrace (early 1920s to 1957–1958)**—Well-bedded, very fine grained, light-colored silty sand with beds 10–20 cm thick; exposed thickness 1–3 m. Interbedded with lower part of alluvium of modern terrace of Paria River (unit pmt) at mouth of Paria Canyon. Elevation ranges from 953–953 m near cableway. Mature saltcedar trees in dense groves partly buried in alluvium are typical; part of unit post-dates 1935 on basis of ring counts from tree rooted beneath terrace on south side of river upstream of gaging station. Driftwood on terrace contains abundant cut-and-milled wood and cans and bottles dating from mid-1950s. Unit equivalent to pre-dam alluvium of Hereford and others (1993; 1996a; 1998) and Hereford (1996) in Marble Canyon and eastern Grand Canyon on basis of similarities in vegetation, position in channel relative to post-dam zone (unit pdz), and flood debris

yt **Younger terrace (1884 to early 1920s)**—Well-bedded, very fine grained, light-colored silty sand with beds 10–20 cm thick; contains interbedded tributary sheetwash sand and pebble-size gravel near tributaries. Elevation ranges from 953–956 m. Interbedded with unmapped alluvium of Paria River at mouth of Paria Canyon. Elevation ranges from 956–957 m near cableway. Saltcedar locally abundant on terrace; trees are rooted on surface and post-date deposition; ring counts indicate germination in early to mid-1960s. Stumps of willow present locally. Unit yt probably correlates with lower mesquite terrace of Hereford and others (1993; 1996a; 1998) and Hereford (1996) in Marble Canyon and eastern Grand Canyon

ir **Intermediate terrace (1400? to 1883)**—Well-bedded, very fine grained, light-colored silty sand with beds 10–20 cm thick; contains interbedded sheetwash sand and pebble gravel near tributaries; thickness 4–5 m. Unit interbedded with unmapped alluvium of Paria River. Elevation ranges from 953–956 m on north side of river downstream of cableway. Saltcedar and willow root present on terrace. A photograph of north bank of Colorado River taken in 1873 shows intermediate terrace (unit ir) between present parking area and boat-launch ramp (Turner and Karpiscak, 1980; plates. 32A, 33A); at that time terrace was densely covered with rabbitbrush and fresh-appearing driftwood. Intermediate terrace is probably equivalent to upper mesquite terrace of Hereford (1996) and Hereford and others (1993; 1996a; 1998) in eastern Grand Canyon and Marble Canyon and to settlement alluvium and Naha alluvium of southern Colorado Plateau (Hereford and others, 1996b)

ot **Older terrace (before 770 B.C.? to 1200)**—Well-bedded, very fine grained, light-colored silty sand with beds 10-30 cm thick; contains interbedded sheetwash sand and pebble-size gravel near bedrock; exposed thickness 5–6 m. Archeologic remains of Pueblo-II age (950–1150) present on and just below surface (T. Burchett and Helen C. Fairley, personal commun., 1996) south-southeast of gaging station. Elevation ranges from 955–956 m near cableway. Unit ot probably equivalent to alluvium of Pueblo-II age and striped alluvium in eastern Grand Canyon and Marble Canyon (Hereford, 1996; Hereford and others, 1996a; 1998)

Pre-dam flood deposits

fdy **Younger flood deposits (1884)**—Very fine grained, distinctive light-greenish-gray (5GY 8/1) silty sand; thickness 0–3 m; forms hummocky surface with arrowweed on south side of river east of cableway at maximum elevation of 956 m. Unit partly overlaps the older terrace (unit ot). Elevation of unit fdy corresponds to gage height of 9.6 m (31.5 ft), which is discharge of 8,500 m^3/s (300,000 ft^3/s). A flood of this size happened in July 1884 and is the largest recorded flood of the Colorado River

fdi **Intermediate flood deposits (after 770-400 B.C. to 1883)**—Very fine grained, distinctive light-greenish-gray (5GY 8/1) silty sand; thickness 0–50 cm. Unit fdi overlaps or is interbedded with upper portion of older small tributary debris-flow deposits (unit dfo) in easternmost part of map area. Present only on south side of river east of cableway. Deposited at several levels ranging in elevation from 956–959 m

fdo **Older flood deposits (30000? B.C. to before 770-400 B.C.)**—Very fine grained, distinctive light-greenish-gray (5GY 8/1) silty sand with finely interbedded silt and very fine sand couplets 1–5 mm thick that form low-angle cross strata; exposed thickness 3–5 m. Well exposed 170 m south of gaging station and on north side of river in road cut immediately north of the Paria River Road just outside mapped area. Unit fdo overlaps and is younger than Pleistocene landslide deposits (unit pls) on south side of river; overlain disconformably by up to 3 m of poorly sorted gravel of solifluction or talus origin. Unit fdo is topographically higher and older than unit ot. Topographic position of unit fdo below Pleistocene deposits and lithologic similarity to other Holocene flood deposits (units fdy, fdi) suggest unit is Holocene. Elevation of deposit south of gaging station ranges from 958–973 m and corresponds to extrapolated gage height of 18.7 to 23.3 m (59.9 to 76.3 ft)

Channel-dam debris-flow deposits

dcy **Younger channelized debris-flow deposits (after 1900s to 1996)**—Gravel consisting mainly of angular to subangular cobbles to small and medium-size boulders of sandstone of Glen Canyon Group and cobbles of siliceous sandstone from Petrified Forest Member of Chinle Formation; clast- to matrix-supported texture; thickness 1–2 m. Boulders are fresh appearing and unweathered. Unit deposited in slightly to deeply entrenched channels on debris fans of large tributary streams. Unit equivalent to recently active channel-laid debris-flow deposits of eastern Grand Canyon and Marble Canyon (Hereford, 1996; Hereford and others, 1993; 1996a; 1998). Debris flow is possible and expected in these channels whenever sufficient precipitation occurs.

dco **Older channelized debris-flow deposits (early to late 1900s)**—Gravel consisting mainly of angular to subangular cobbles to small and medium-size boulders of sandstone of Glen Canyon Group and cobbles of siliceous sandstone from Petrified Forest Member of Chinle Formation; clast- to matrix-supported texture; thickness 1–2 m. Boulders are mostly fresh appearing and unweathered. Unit equivalent to recently inactive older channelized debris-flow deposits of eastern Grand Canyon and Marble Canyon (Hereford, 1996; Hereford and others, 1993; 1996a; 1998)

Debris-flow deposits of small tributary streams that do not drain the rim of Glen Canyon

dfa **Active small-tributary debris-flow deposits (early 1900s to 1996)**—Gravel consisting mainly of angular to subangular pebbles to cobbles and small boulders of sandstone of Glen Canyon Group and cobbles of siliceous sandstone from Petrified Forest Member of Chinle Formation; matrix-supported texture; thickness 0–1 m. Unit deposited in deeply to slightly entrenched channels. Surface of deposit is fresh appearing and unweathered. Probably interbedded locally with streamflow deposits. Debris flow is possible and expected in these channels whenever sufficient precipitation occurs. Powe (1968, p. 15) describes a debris flow in September 1962 in the dfa channel on north side of Colorado River 600 m east of boat launching ramp; levees about 1 m high were deposited and boulders as large as 5 m were deposited in Colorado River

dfy **Younger small-tributary debris-flow deposits (after 1884 to early 1900s)**—Sand to cobble-size gravel, poorly sorted with angular to subangular pebbles of Glen Canyon Group sandstones and cobbles of siliceous sandstone from Petrified Forest Member of Chinle Formation; thickness 0–1 m. On south side of river east of gaging station, unit overlaps younger flood deposits (unit fdy) and covers road to main ferry crossing, which was abandoned in 1929 (Thompson and others, 1995). Surface of deposit is mostly fresh appearing and unweathered

do **Older small-tributary debris-flow deposits (before 770-400 B.C. to before 1200)**—Sand to small pebble gravel of debris-flow and sheetwash origin; thickness 1–3 m. Present only on south side of river near eastern boundary of mapped area. Near river, unit contains two interbedded flood deposits of Colorado River origin that are probably equivalent to older part of unit fdi. A small hearth 1 m below surface 50 m southwest of river contains charcoal that dates to 2,430 ± 55 years, which calibrates to 770–400 B.C.; 100 m south of river, Pueblo-II archeologic remains are present on surface of unit and suggest surface of unit could be as young as 1200 (Lisa Leap and Lynn A. Neal, written commun., 1992)

Terrace and flood-plain deposits of the Paria River

pfp **Flood-plain deposits of the Paria River (1980 to 1996)**—Light-colored, very fine grained sand with thin beds of silty sand; thickness 1–3 m. Alluvium partly fills channel 2–3 m below unit pmt. Flood plain formed after channel entrenchment following relatively large flood of Paria River in September 1980 (Hereford, 1986)

pmt **Modern terrace of the Paria River (early 1940s to 1980)**—Light-colored, very fine grained sand with thin, lenticular beds of gravel and continuous beds of silty sand; thickness 2–3 m. Unit is well exposed near Paria River gaging station 0.6 km northwest of mapped area where deposits were dated and described by Hereford (1986). In map area, unit has three distinct levels that are probably related to diversion of the Paria River channel by construction of levees (unit rl). Unit pmt correlates with the modern alluvium of the Virgin River and other southern Colorado Plateau streams (Hereford and others, 1996a)

Tributary stream deposits

af **Alluvial-fan deposits (after 1884 to 1996)**—Sand to small-cobble gravel having a roughly fan-shaped surface beneath steep slopes of gravel and bedrock; thickness 0–1 m. Unit overlaps hydraulic mining outwash (unit mo) in northern part of mapped area and overlies the younger terrace (unit yt) in western part of mapped area

COLLUVIUM

Landslide deposits

pls **Pleistocene landslide deposits (middle? to late Pleistocene)**—Poorly sorted blocks of brown and red sandstone and large, steeply dipping, rotated segments of Glen Canyon Group (Mesozoic) sandstone, which are Toreva blocks as defined by Reiche (1937). Deposits present in eastern part of mapped area at outcrops of the Petrified Forest Member of Chinle Formation; bentonitic clays of Petrified Forest Member combined with moisture resulted in slope failure and landslides

Talus

ts **Talus, undivided (Holocene)**—Occurs in fine styles or modes: (1) Cobble to large boulder-size, angular blocks of Glen Canyon Group sandstones; (2) talus cones and sheets beneath walls of Glen Canyon; (3) cobble gravel reworked from older gravel deposits (unit gvo); (4) sheets of small to medium boulder-size blocks of Shinarump Sandstone Member of Chinle Formation beneath outcrops of Shinarump Sandstone; and (5) sheets of small boulder-size talus derived from reworking of Pleistocene landslide deposits (unit pls). Thickness of unit varies widely from less than 1 m to greater than 10 m. Older talus deposits are typically dissected and expose underlying bedrock. Sandstone blocks are distinctly and darkly varnished; younger talus deposits overlying unit fdo have mean varnish darkness of 2.8 (Bull, 1991, p. 63–64; value + chroma/2; small numbers indicate dark varnish and relatively large numbers indicate light varnish)

Debris-flow deposits

Fan-forming debris-flow deposits of large tributaries draining rim of Glen Canyon

dfy **Younger fan-forming debris-flow deposits (after 14000? to early 1900s)**—Gravel consisting mainly of angular to subangular cobbles to small boulders of sandstone of Glen Canyon Group and cobbles of silicous sandstone from Petrified Forest Member of Chinle Formation; clast- to matrix-supported texture; thickness 1–2 m. Boulders are fresh appearing with none to slight rock varnish. Overlies unit fdy on south side of river 300 m east-southeast of gaging station. Equivalent to younger fan-forming debris-flow deposits of eastern Grand Canyon and Marble Canyon (Hereford, 1996; Hereford and others, 1993; 1996a; 1998) on basis of lack of varnish and soil development

dfi **Intermediate debris-flow deposits (6500? to 1200)**—Gravel consisting mainly of angular to subangular cobbles to medium-size boulders of sandstone of Glen Canyon Group and cobbles of siliceous sandstone from Petrified Forest Member of Chinle Formation; clast- to matrix-supported texture; thickness 1–3 m. On south side of river 120 m south-southeast of gaging station, unit dfi is interbedded with flood deposits of Colorado River (unit fdi) at 957 m elevation. Boulders are distinctly varnished; varnish darkness ranges from 3.2 to 3.5. Beneath surface, sandstone blocks are moderately broken. Equivalent to younger fan-forming debris-flow deposits of eastern Grand Canyon and Marble Canyon (Hereford and others, 1993; 1996a; 1998; Hereford, 1996) on basis of varnish darkness and incipient soil development

dfoa **Unit a of older debris-flow deposits (after 3000 B.C. to before 770-400? B.C.)**—Gravel consisting mainly of angular to subangular cobbles to medium-size boulders of sandstone of Glen Canyon Group and cobbles of siliceous sandstone from Petrified Forest Member of Chinle Formation; clast- to matrix-supported texture; thickness 4–6 m. Distinguished from unit dfob by varnish darkness and degree of soil development. Mean varnish darkness ranges from 1.9 to 2.4; undersides of boulders have weak Stage-II carbonate morphology (Bull, 1991) of this continuous coatings of light-colored calcium carbonate. Varnish darkness of unit dfoa is similar to that of talus overlying unit fdo on south side of river. Varnish darkness and degree of soil development suggest unit dfoa correlates with lower part of older debris-flow deposits in eastern Grand Canyon and Marble Canyon (Hereford and others, 1993; 1996a; 1998; Hereford, 1996)

dfob **Unit b of older debris-flow deposits (before 770-400? B.C. to 600)**—Gravel consisting mainly of angular to subangular cobbles to medium-size boulders of sandstone of Glen Canyon Group and cobbles of siliceous sandstone from Petrified Forest Member of Chinle Formation; clast- to matrix-supported texture; thickness 3–4 m. Unit b is distinguished from unit dfb by darker rock varnish, which ranges from 2.7 to 3.2 on unit dfob. Undersides of boulders typically have Stage-I carbonate morphology with thin coatings of calcium carbonate; surfaces of sandstone boulders are typically decomposed by spalling and granular disintegration. On basis of varnish darkness and soil development, unit probably correlates with upper part of older fan-forming debris-flow deposits in eastern Grand Canyon and Marble Canyon (Hereford and others, 1993; 1996a; 1998; Hereford, 1996)

dcy **Younger channelized debris-flow deposits (after 1900s to 1996)**—Gravel consisting mainly of angular to subangular cobbles to small and medium-size boulders of sandstone of Glen Canyon Group and cobbles of siliceous sandstone from Petrified Forest Member of Chinle Formation; clast- to matrix-supported texture; thickness 1–2 m. Boulders are fresh appearing and unweathered. Unit deposited in slightly to deeply entrenched channels on debris fans of large tributary streams. Unit equivalent to recently active channel-laid debris-flow deposits of eastern Grand Canyon and Marble Canyon (Hereford, 1996; Hereford and others, 1993; 1996a; 1998). Debris flow is possible and expected in these channels whenever sufficient precipitation occurs.

dco **Older channelized debris-flow deposits (early to late 1900s)**—Gravel consisting mainly of angular to subangular cobbles to small and medium-size boulders of sandstone of Glen Canyon Group and cobbles of siliceous sandstone from Petrified Forest Member of Chinle Formation; clast- to matrix-supported texture; thickness 1–2 m. Boulders are mostly fresh appearing and unweathered. Unit equivalent to recently inactive older channelized debris-flow deposits of eastern Grand Canyon and Marble Canyon (Hereford, 1996; Hereford and others, 1993; 1996a; 1998)

dfa **Active small-tributary debris-flow deposits (early 1900s to 1996)**—Gravel consisting mainly of angular to subangular pebbles to cobbles and small boulders of sandstone of Glen Canyon Group and cobbles of siliceous sandstone from Petrified Forest Member of Chinle Formation; matrix-supported texture; thickness 0–1 m. Unit deposited in deeply to slightly entrenched channels. Surface of deposit is fresh appearing and unweathered. Probably interbedded locally with streamflow deposits. Debris flow is possible and expected in these channels whenever sufficient precipitation occurs. Powe (1968, p. 15) describes a debris flow in September 1962 in the dfa channel on north side of Colorado River 600 m east of boat launching ramp; levees about 1 m high were deposited and boulders as large as 5 m were deposited in Colorado River

dfy **Younger small-tributary debris-flow deposits (after 1884 to early 1900s)**—Sand to cobble-size gravel, poorly sorted with angular to subangular pebbles of Glen Canyon Group sandstones and cobbles of siliceous sandstone from Petrified Forest Member of Chinle Formation; thickness 0–1 m. On south side of river east of gaging station, unit overlaps younger flood deposits (unit fdy) and covers road to main ferry crossing, which was abandoned in 1929 (Thompson and others, 1995). Surface of deposit is mostly fresh appearing and unweathered

do **Older small-tributary debris-flow deposits (before 770-400 B.C. to before 1200)**—Sand to small pebble gravel of debris-flow and sheetwash origin; thickness 1–3 m. Present only on south side of river near eastern boundary of mapped area. Near river, unit contains two interbedded flood deposits of Colorado River origin that are probably equivalent to older part of unit fdi. A small hearth 1 m below surface 50 m southwest of river contains charcoal that dates to 2,430 ± 55 years, which calibrates to 770–400 B.C.; 100 m south of river, Pueblo-II archeologic remains are present on surface of unit and suggest surface of unit could be as young as 1200 (Lisa Leap and Lynn A. Neal, written commun., 1992)

EOLIAN

ea **Active sand dunes (1996)**—Very fine grained to fine-grained, well-sorted sand forming lightly vegetated active dune fields; thickness 1–3 m

e **Sand dunes (after 7000? to 1200)**—Very fine grained to fine-grained, well-sorted sand forming lightly vegetated mostly inactive dune field on south side of river; thickness 1–4 m. Pueblo-II archeologic remains are present on surface of unit (T. Burchett, oral commun., 1995) and suggest surface could be as young as 1200. Derived mainly from reworking of older alluvial deposits

ARTIFICIAL FILL

mo **Hydraulic mining outwash (around 1910)**—Pale-greenish-clay overlain by granule to pebble-size gravel; total thickness about 50 cm. Clay resembles Petrified Forest Member of Chinle Formation and gravel is similar to talus on nearby hillslopes. Unit forms low-relief fan downstream of area mined hydraulically around 1910 (Thompson and others, 1995) near northeast boundary of mapped area

rl **Riprap and levees (1963 to 1996)**—Angular blocks of Kaibab Formation up to 1 m used to construct bridge and road abutments, and local surface material piled into levees and other structures used to divert surface water

BEDROCK

Chinle Formation (Upper Triassic)

Ŧcp **Petrified Forest Member**—Bentonitic mudstone and claystone with distinctive shades of green, blue, and red; top of unit not present in mapped area; thickness 100–140 m. Forms gentle slopes and covered slopes

Ŧcps **Sandstone unit**—Light-colored, medium- to coarse-grained, moderately well sorted siliceous sandstone; cross-stratified in beds 20–50 cm thick; forms ledge about 90 m above base of Petrified Forest Member; thickness about 3-5 m

Ŧcs **Shinarump Sandstone Member**—Conglomeratic sandstone cross-stratified in lenticular beds 50–150 cm thick; sharp erosional contact with underlying Moenkopi Formation; forms distinctive light-brown cliff; thickness 0–50 m (Phoenix, 1963)

Ŧm **Moenkopi Formation (Middle? and Lower Triassic)**—Gypsiferous, pale-red to dark-brown, slope-forming mudstone, siltstone, and shale; thickness 100–140 m (Phoenix, 1963)

Pk **Kaibab Formation (Lower Permian)**—Cherty, yellowish-gray to light-gray silty dolomite, dolomitic sandstone, and minor sandstone; thickness 70–100 m (Phoenix, 1963); base of unit not present in mapped area. Forms cliffs along Colorado River and along Paria River in western part of mapped area

Contact

Strike and dip of bedrock

Driftwood

Disturbed area of mostly covered, unmappable surficial geologic materials—Disturbance caused by construction and presence of roads, bridges, vehicle parking lots, boat launching ramps, gravel pits, and National Park Service maintenance facilities

Roads, parking lots and other automobile facilities

Buildings and other structures

Foot trail



Figure 1. (A) Colorado River and Lees Ferry area from Spencer Trail; view to the southeast, photograph taken May 8, 1996 by Richard Hereford. **(B)** Approximately same scene as **1A**, photograph taken 1921. Several of the mapped units are shown with labels; line across river is cableway. From Emery Kolb Collection (accession no. 568-1680), Special Collections Library, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff.



Figure 2. (A) Lonely Dell Ranch and Lees Ferry area; view to southeast, photograph taken May 7, 1996 by Richard Hereford. **(B)** Approximately same scene as **2A**, photograph taken 1921. Several of the mapped units are shown where they occur at the north boundary of map area. From Emery Kolb Collection (accession no. 568-1383), Special Collections Library, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff.



Compiled by Photogrammetry Section, Branch of Astrogeology, Flagstaff, Arizona
Aerial photography of 5 May 1993. Approximate scale 1:4,800
Ground control by Glen Canyon Environmental Studies Survey Department
Water level at approximately 228 m/s

MAP SHOWING QUATERNARY GEOLOGY AND GEOMORPHOLOGY OF THE LEES FERRY AREA, ARIZONA

By
Richard Hereford, Kelly J. Burke, and Kathryn S. Thompson

2000

Geology mapped in 1996
Edited by Jane S. Derner; cartography by Darlene A. Cavalier and Hugh F. Thomas
Manuscript approved for publication September 11, 1998

ISBN: 0-607-94262-7
9 780607 942627

Any use of trade, product, or firm names in this publication is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government

For sale by U.S. Geological Survey, Information Services, Box 25286, Federal Center, CO 80225, 1–888–ASK–USGS

Available on World Wide Web at http://geopubs.wr.usgs.gov/store.html

Printed on recycled paper